UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/530,393	01/20/2006	Kenneth LeClair	8449-304-999	6248
20583 JONES DAY	7590 01/09/2008		EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41			LEE, JAE W	
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		ART UNIT PAPER	
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/530,393	LECLAIR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jae W. Lee, Ph.D.	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2006.	•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number:

10/530,393 Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Application status

Claims 1-36 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10 and 18, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule comprising the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, wherein the nucleotide sequence is not flanked by sequences adjacent to SEQ ID NO: 1 in the native CD91 nucleotide sequence.

Group II, claim(s) 11-17, drawn to a polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12, wherein the amino acid sequence is not flanked by sequences adjacent to SEQ ID NO: 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12, respectively, in the native CD91 polypeptide sequence.

Group III, claim(s) 19-23, drawn to an antibody or fragment thereof that immunospecifically binds to a CD91 polypeptide fragment, wherein the CD91 polypeptide fragment comprises the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12.

Group IV, claim(s) 24 and 25, drawn to a method for treating a CD91-related disease or disorder comprising administering the polypeptide of any one of claims 11-17 to a mammal in need thereof in an amount effective to treat the disease or disorder.

Group V, claim(s) 26-36, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates an HSP-CD91-mediated process, comprising: (a) contacting a test compound with a

Application/Control Number:

10/530,393 Art Unit: 1656

heat shock protein and a CD91 polypeptide fragment that binds a CD91 ligand; and (b) measuring the level of the CD91 polypeptide fragment activity or expression, such that if the level of activity or expression measured in (b) differs from the level of the CD91 polypeptide fragment activity or expression measured in the presence the heat shock protein but in the absence of the test compound, then a compound that modulates an HSP- CD91-mediated process is identified.

In addition to the above election, different SEQ ID NOs are subject to further election/restriction. Please elect a single nucleotide sequence from SEQ ID NOs: 1, 14, 15, 16 and 17, and a corresponding single polypeptide sequence from SEQ ID NOs: 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12.

These SEQ ID NOs represent structurally different nucleic acid/amino acid sequences, therefore where structural identity is required, such as for hybridization or expression, the different sequences have different effects. The claims will be examined to the extent they read upon the elected SEQ ID NOs.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The reference of Herz et al. (EMBO J. 1998, Vol. 7, No. 13, pg. 4119-

10/530,393 Art Unit: 1656

4127) teaches a nucleic acid sequence as set forth in Applicant's SEQ ID NO: 1, encoding a polypeptide sequence as set forth in Applicant's SEQ ID NO: 2 (please see International Search Report filed on 04/04/2005), which anticipates the limitation of claim 1, in the recitation of "a nucleic acid molecule comprising the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, wherein the nucleotide sequence is not flanked by sequences adjacent to SEQ ID NO: 1 in the native CD91 nucleotide sequence," and thus, the shared technical feature of the groups is not a "special technical feature", unity of invention between the groups does not exist.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Because these inventions are unrelated and distinct for the reasons given above, and the literature and sequence searches required for each of the Group is not required for another thereby presenting a search burden on the Examiner, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

10/530,393 Art Unit: 1656

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jae W. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-9949.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner: Jae W. Lee, Ph.D.

RICHARD HUTSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER